

# Audit Committee Update for Swindon Borough Council

---


**Year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March 2014**

8<sup>th</sup> September 2014

**Liz Cave**  
Engagement Lead  
T +44 (0)1173 057885  
E [Liz.A.Cave@uk.gt.com](mailto:Liz.A.Cave@uk.gt.com)

**Chris Hackett**  
Audit Manager  
T +44 (0)1173 057876  
E [Chris.I.Hackett@uk.gt.com](mailto:Chris.I.Hackett@uk.gt.com)

**Ian Loveys**  
Executive  
T +44 (0)117 3057864  
E [Ian.I.Loveys@uk.gt.com](mailto:Ian.I.Loveys@uk.gt.com)



The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our audit process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect your business or any weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

---

# Contents

Section	Page
Introduction	4
Progress at September 2014 with the 2013/14 Audit	5-6
Emerging issues and developments	8-10

# Introduction

This paper provides the Audit Committee with a report on progress in delivering our responsibilities as your external auditors. The paper also includes:

- a summary of emerging national issues and developments that may be relevant to you as a Borough Council
- includes a number of challenge questions in respect of these emerging issues which the Committee may wish to consider.

Members of the Audit Committee can find further useful material on our website [www.grant-thornton.co.uk](http://www.grant-thornton.co.uk), where we have a section dedicated to our work in the public sector. Here you can download copies of our publications:

- Local Government Governance Review 2014
- Towards a tipping point?
- The migration of public services
- The developing internal audit agenda
- Reaping the benefits: local government welfare reform
- Surviving the storm: how resilient are local authorities?
- Alternative delivery models

If you would like further information on any items in this briefing, or would like to register with Grant Thornton to receive regular email updates on issues that are of interest to you, please contact either your Engagement Lead or Audit Manager.

Liz Cave Engagement Lead T 01173 057885 M 07880 456128

[Liz.A.Cave@uk.gt.com](mailto:Liz.A.Cave@uk.gt.com)

Chris Hackett Audit Manager T 01173 057876 M 07880 456130

[Chris.I.Hackett@uk.gt.com](mailto:Chris.I.Hackett@uk.gt.com)

# Progress at 8<sup>th</sup> September 2014

Work	Planned date	Complete?	Comments
<b>2013-14 Accounts Audit Plan</b> We are required to issue a detailed accounts audit plan to the Council setting out our proposed approach in order to give an opinion on the Council's 2013-14 financial statements.	30 <sup>th</sup> April 2014	Yes	Reported to the Audit Committee meeting held in April
<b>Interim accounts audit</b> Our interim fieldwork visit includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• updating our review of the Council's control environment</li> <li>• updating our understanding of financial systems</li> <li>• review of Internal Audit reports on core financial systems</li> <li>• early work on emerging accounting issues</li> <li>• early substantive testing</li> <li>• Initial work on the proposed Value for Money conclusion.</li> </ul>	January to April 2014	Yes	We have completed our interim work including updating our assessment of the Council's control environment, walk through of controls, discussion of emerging issues and early testing
<b>2013-14 final accounts audit</b> Including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• audit of the 2013-14 financial statements</li> <li>• proposed opinion on the Council's accounts</li> <li>• proposed Value for Money conclusion.</li> </ul>	July to September 2013		This work is substantially complete pending closing procedures such as agreeing the Council's letter of representation which will be agreed at the September audit committee

# Progress at 8<sup>th</sup> September 2014

Work	Planned date	Complete?	Comments
<b>Value for Money (VfM) conclusion</b> The scope of our work to inform the 2013/14 VfM conclusion comprises: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• An initial risk assessment;</li><li>• A detailed review of arrangements against criteria specific by the Audit Commission;</li><li>• Reviewing key documents; and</li><li>• Discussions with officers.</li></ul>	September 2014	Yes	
<b>Other areas of work</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Certification of claims and returns including the Housing Benefits claim.</li></ul>	November 2014		We presented our Certification work plan to the April Committee meeting. Initial testing commenced in June.
<b>Other activity undertaken</b> We have been liaising with officers to discuss issues affecting the year end accounts.	On-going		

# Not to be rubbished, £464 million potential savings

## Local government guidance

### Audit Commission VFM Profiles

Using data from the VFM Profile, <http://www.audit-commission.gov.uk/information-and-analysis/value-for-money-briefings-2/> the Audit Commission issued a briefing on 27 March 2014, concluding that up to £464 million could be saved overall, if councils spending the most brought down their spending to the average for their authority type and waste responsibilities.

The Audit Commission Chairman, Jeremy Newman said: "It's good news that local authorities have reduced their spending on household waste by £46 million over the past four years and have reduced levels of waste sent to landfill. Councils have achieved these important improvements by working with local people and exercising choice about what works best in their own circumstances."

In the context of considering the hierarchy of waste management options - preventing the creation of waste, preparing waste for re-use, recycling, recovery and disposal to landfill - the Audit Commission Chairman also said

"in 2012/13 local authorities spent a fifth of their total expenditure on the most desirable option for household waste management: minimisation and recycling. They spent the other four-fifths on the collection and disposal of waste – the least desirable options. Councils have the power to influence and encourage residents to do the right thing and they control the levels of spending on the range of waste management options available to them. Their choices ultimately affect how well the environment is protected and the quality of waste services residents receive"

# Blue Badge fraud prosecutions double in three years

## Local government guidance

### Fraud prevention

The Local Government Association has reported that Blue Badge fraud prosecutions have doubled in three years as councils crackdown on dishonest motorists robbing disabled people of their independence and their right to easier parking. - See more at:

[http://www.local.gov.uk/web/guest/media-releases/-/journal\\_content/56/10180/6186329/NEWS#sthash.PIIm4374.dpuf](http://www.local.gov.uk/web/guest/media-releases/-/journal_content/56/10180/6186329/NEWS#sthash.PIIm4374.dpuf)

Stoke-on-Trent City Council, Plymouth Council and Hull City Council recently secured their first prosecutions against fraudsters while Manchester City Council has a 100 per cent conviction rate with more than 500 prosecutions in the past five years. Councils are also using new powers to seize and confiscate badges suspected of being used illegally and some have set up specific enforcement teams to tackle Blue Badge fraud.

Cllr Peter Box, Chair of the LGA's Economy and Transport Board, said:

"Councils are determined to do everything in their power to protect the quality of life for our disabled and vulnerable residents and will not hesitate to take legal action against anyone caught abusing the scheme. With more of these fraudsters being brought to justice than ever before by councils, this is sending a strong message that we will come down hard on drivers illegally using Blue Badges."



# Consultation – Local Government Pension Scheme future structure

## Local government guidance

### Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS) reform

The DCLG (1 May 2014) published a summary of its 2013 call for evidence on the future structure of the local government pension scheme, along with its own conclusions and has launched a formal consultation on these. Consultation responses were required by 11 July 2014. <https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/local-government-pension-scheme-opportunities-for-collaboration-cost-savings-and-efficiencies>

Having considered a cost/benefit analysis of mergers from actuarial firm Hymans Robertson, the DCLG said it would not force funds to merge, but is instead consulting on the following proposals:

- Establishing common investment vehicles to provide funds with a mechanism to access economies of scale, helping them to invest more efficiently in listed and alternative assets and to reduce investment costs.
- Significantly reducing investment fees and other costs of investment by using passive management for listed assets, since the aggregate fund performance has been shown to replicate the market.
- Keeping asset allocation with the local fund authorities, and making available more transparent and comparable data to help identify the true cost of investment and drive further efficiencies in the Scheme.
- A proposal not to pursue fund mergers at this time.

The potential proposed changes, whilst not as radical as the previously considered merger proposals, remain nonetheless significant for the management of pension funds. DCLG believe the implementation of the proposed changes would significantly reduce investment costs across the LGPS nationally.



© 2014 Grant Thornton UK LLP. All rights reserved.

'Grant Thornton' means Grant Thornton UK LLP, a limited liability partnership.

Grant Thornton is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (Grant Thornton International). References to 'Grant Thornton' are to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms operate and refer to one or more member firms, as the context requires. Grant Thornton International and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered independently by member firms, which are not responsible for the services or activities of one another. Grant Thornton International does not provide services to clients.

**[grant-thornton.co.uk](http://grant-thornton.co.uk)**