

Responses to Consultation

Total Responses	21
-----------------	-----------

Q1 – Do you agree with the proposed admission arrangements?			
	Yes	14	66.67%
	No	5	23.81%
	No response	2	9.52%

Q2 – Do you agree with the proposed co-ordinated scheme?			
	Yes	13	61.90%
	No	1	4.76%
	No response	7	33.33%

Q4 - Respondent Details			
I am parish, Town or Ward Councillor for Swindon Borough Council		3	14.29%
I am a parent of a child of school age		10	47.62%
I am a parent of a child who will start school in 2016		1	4.76%
I am the parent of a child who will start school in September 2017		5	23.81%
I represent a primary, infant or junior school within swindon		1	4.76%
No response		1	4.76%

Q5 - Do you consider yourself to have a disability?			
Yes		2	9.52%
No		16	76.19%
No response		3	14.29%

Q6 - Respondent Details			
Asian Other		1	4.76%
Black African		1	4.76%
White British		14	66.67%
White European		1	4.76%
White Other		1	4.76%
No Response		3	14.29%

Summary of written responses

Issue	LA Response
<p>Chiseldon Primary's catchment area, whilst remaining unchanged, incorporates the 900 new builds at Coate Water. The nearest geographical school is Liden. I do not feel it is fair to expect a small primary, in much need to improvement to absorb the potential volume of children. Chiseldon will cease to be a small school and become and overflow</p>	<p><i>Whilst the new housing development does fall within the current catchment area for Chiseldon there are schools which are closer in travelling distance. The Badbury Park site has a primary school site identified within the development area which under the Government's free school presumption will open as a Free School. As such the school will be responsible for determining their own admissions policy and they can chose whether to determine a catchment area.</i></p>
<p>I am deeply concerned regarding the catchment area for Chiseldon Primary school. I am well aware that the boundaries have not changed, however, when the boundaries were set there wasn't a new housing estate (Badbury park) which will have over 900 homes in the Chiseldon catchment area.</p> <p>Chiseldon primary is a small village school and cannot accommodate this kind of influx of children. A far more sensible option would be Liden primary school which is less than a 5 minute walk away rather than a bus/car journey of a couple of miles. I hope that common sense will prevail and the catchment area for Badbury park changed to reflect this. Chiseldon does not have the capacity or size to cope with this. The extra traffic on an already congested road with no parking outside the school cannot cope and the whole idea is ridicules that a small village school can realistically take all of these children.</p>	<p><i>A catchment area review was not required with this consultation for the reasons stated in the previous response. However when the new school is open we will open negotiation with Chiseldon School to potentially reduce the current catchment area.</i></p> <p><i>Parents can express schools outside their catchment area if they wish to.</i></p>
<p>I agree with the 2 proposals but not the catchment areas. I think the catchment area for Chiseldon is too big now that Badbury park is nearly complete.</p> <p>The school cannot withstand more than a one form entry as there are no classrooms and at present the year 3 class has 35 pupils even though the places available says 30. Therefor I can't see where the extra children from Badbury park are going to be accommodated.</p>	<p><i>See response above</i></p>
<p>CHISELDON PRIMARY is in no way big enough to cope with the influx of children. And why should a VILLAGE primary take the children?</p> <p>Liden and lawn are within a walking distance,</p>	<p><i>The catchment areas have not changed with this consultation.</i></p>

<p>and Chiseldon is not.</p> <p>Therefore I wish to NOT agree with the new areas.</p>	
<p>Gareth is aware of the particular circumstances at South Marston, which may be duplicated on other areas of the NEV.</p> <p>In essence our school [South Marston] is likely to triple in size to accommodate an anticipated tripling in size of the village. It may be that these cannot be perfectly phased together and that there will be, at an interim stage, more places at the school than are required for local children. It may be that this spare capacity will be taken up by outsiders travelling from Stratton or from the planned nearby villages if houses come to be occupied before schools are built.</p> <p>Under the proposed guidelines the siblings of those children would have priority over children from the fully expanded SM village, and if, as the village expansion proceeds there are more applicants than places, then some South Marston children would not be able to attend South Marston school, which would be contrary to a PC aim as expressed in the Neighbourhood Plan.</p> <p>We would suggest that either wider powers are given to the Governors in such circumstances, or that children from within the catchment area have priority over siblings, in order to counter this.</p> <p>I also acknowledge the primacy of the school governors over the PC in relation to specific South Marston issues!</p>	<p><i>South Marston Primary School has been identified as a school which can be expanded when development is carried out in the New Eastern Villages Project.</i></p> <p><i>The Local Authority reviewed its oversubscription criteria for the 2010 admission arrangements for community and voluntary controlled schools making any sibling regardless of living in or out of the catchment area a priority above children who solely lived in the catchment area.</i></p> <p><i>At the current time South Marston has a published admission number of 15 at the current time this means that some children currently attend the school who live outside of the catchment area. This would give priority to any siblings who following in future years however at the current time children who live within the catchment are allocated during the normal point of entry.</i></p> <p><i>It would be unfair to change the criteria at the current time to prioritise catchment children over out of catchment siblings as was previously the case.</i></p> <p><i>A planning application for the expansion of South Marston CE Primary school is expected to be submitted in early 2016.</i></p>

Appendix 3

Published Admission Number response

The Local Authority have consulted on the published admission number for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools. Academies and Voluntary Aided Schools within the authority are responsible for determining their own admission number.

Name of School	Admission Number 2016-17	Proposed Admission Number 2017-18	Comments from School	Comments from Commissioning
<i>PRIMARY, INFANT and JUNIOR</i>				
Abbey Meads Primary School	60	60	Agreed	Agreed 60
Beechcroft Infant School	90	90	Agreed	Agreed 90
Bishopstone CE Primary School	8	7	Agreed	Agreed 7
Bridlewood Primary School	30	30	Agreed	Agreed 30
Brook Field Primary School	60	60	Agreed	Agreed 60
Catherine Wayte Primary School	60	60	Agreed	Agreed 60
Chiseldon Primary School	30	30	Agreed	Agreed 30
Colebrook Junior School	50	50	Agreed	Agreed 50
Covingham Park Primary School	60	60	Agreed	Agreed 60
East Wichel Primary School	60	60	Agreed	Agreed 60
Eldene Primary School	60	60	Agreed	Agreed 60
Even Swindon Primary School	90	90	No response	Determine 90
Ferndale Community Primary School	60	60	Agreed	Agreed 60
Grange Infant School	90	90	Agreed	Agreed 90
Grange Junior School	100	100	Request 95	Agreed 95
Greenmeadow Primary School	40	40	Agreed	Agreed 40

Appendix 3

Haydonleigh Primary School	90	90	Agreed	Agreed 90
Lainesmead Primary School	60	60	Agreed	Agreed 60
Lawn Primary School	60	60	Agreed	Agreed 60
Liden Primary School	60	60	Agreed	Agreed 60
Nythe Primary School	30	30	Agreed	Agreed 30
Oakhurst Primary School	60	60	Agreed	Agreed 60
Oaktree Primary School	55	55	Agreed	Agreed 55
Orchid Vale Primary School	60	60	Agreed	Agreed 60
Red Oaks Primary School	60	60	Agreed	Agreed 60
Robert Le Kyng Primary School	60	60	Agreed	Agreed 60
Ruskin Junior School	90	100	No response	Determine 100
South Marston CE Primary School	15	15	Request 22	Determine 15
Wanborough Primary School	30	30	Agreed	Agreed 30
Westrop Primary School	45	45	No response	Determine 45
Wroughton Infant School	90	90	Agreed	Agreed 90
Wroughton Junior School	90	90	Agreed	Agreed 90

Name of School		Proposed Admission Number 2016-17	Comments from School	Comments from Commissioning
<i>SECONDARY</i>				
Isambard Community School	90	240	No response	Determine 240